



Staff: BINDHU BERNARD

Subject: ESL120 - CIVIL & MECHANICAL WORKSHOP

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021 Users Completed: 48

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 93.93

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	77.08%	656.75
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	9	18.75%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.17%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	38	79.17%	551
	2. Clarky and Charlestanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	16.67%	94.48
		Fair ·	8.11	1	2.08%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.08%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	77.08%	277.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	10	20.83%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	79.17%	222.3
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1101 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	8.33%	14.64
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	More than 25	1.91	1	2.08%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.42%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	87.5%	168
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	6.25%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.25%	4.95
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	83.33%	370
		Satisfactory	6.34	7	14.58%	44.38
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.08%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	91.67%	209
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	6.25%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.08%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	87.5%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	10.42%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.08%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	95.83%	310.5
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.08%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.08%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	93.75%	517.5
	5. Sheerily of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.17%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	2.08%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	38	79.17%	427.5
	o. O verali reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	18.75%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.08%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.KAMAL KRISHNA R.

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	85.42%	727.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6	12.5%	84.78
14		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.08%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	42	87.5%	609
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	5	10.42%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.08%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	85.42%	307.5
17	3. Teachers winnigness to Help	Good	5.71	6	12.5%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
- "	A A S A C A NOT S A A A A A A	Less than 10	5.85	34	70.83%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	8.33%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.17%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	16.67%	13.12
		No	4.00	43	89.58%	172
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	4.17%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.25%	4.95
2		Excellent	9.25	43	89.58%	397.75
-	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	4	8.33%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.08%	3.16
0		Just Right	4.75	46	95.83%	218.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	2.08%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.08%	1.4
X 1777		Yes	6.88	43	89.58%	295.84
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	8.33%	15.56
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.08%	1.78
		Pleasant	6.75	45	93.75%	303.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.17%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.08%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	44	91.67%	506
_	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.25%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
	2	Blank	4.03	1	2.08%	4.03
			11.25	_	-	4.03
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent		41	85.42%	_
		Good	9.03	6	12.5%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: MYTHILI KESAVASAMY

Subject: HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 48

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 95.04

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	39	81.25%	692.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	18.75%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	37	77.08%	536.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	20.83%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	1	2.08%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	77.08%	277.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	10	20.83%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	1	2.08%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	77.08%	216.45
	4. Approximate 70 of classes No 1 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	12.5%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.08%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	8.33%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	87.5%	168
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	10.42%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	2.08%	1.65
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	38	79.17%	351.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize rectare	Satisfactory	6.34	9	18.75%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.08%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	93.75%	213.75
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.25%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	45	93.75%	309.6
	5. Does the teacher cheothage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	4.17%	7.78
		No	0.74	1	2.08%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45	93.75%	303.75
	4. Beliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.17%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.08%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	47	97.92%	540.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.08%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
	Su 8	Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	41	85.42%	461.25
	6. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	6	12.5%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	1	2.08%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	th and Weakness arks found. Remarks really cool of The Department	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunai

College of Engineering Trivandrum - 1





Staff: GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021 Users Completed: 49

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 49 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
t	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	83.67%	727.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	16.33%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	565.5
	2. Clarity and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	20.41%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	42	85.71%	315
	5. reactions withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	7	14.29%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	81.63%	234
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	6.12%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.04%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	46	92%	184
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	6%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	2%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	79.59%	360.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize rectare	Satisfactory	6.34	10	20.41%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	91.84%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	8.16%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	87.76%	295.84
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	12.24%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	95.92%	317.25
	4. Benavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.08%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5 Simposite of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	93.88%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.12%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(C II T bin a effective	Excellent	11.25	43	87.76%	483.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	6	12.24%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: KAVITHA.S

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	87.5%	745.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	4	8.33%	56.5
		Fair	10.28	1	2.08%	10.2
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.08%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	81.25%	565.
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	16.67%	94.4
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.08%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	81.25%	292.
	3. Teachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	8	16.67%	45.6
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	79.17%	222.
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	6.25%	10.9
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.08%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	12.5%	9.84
	5 Whathartha tarahar distates nates only	No	4.00	41	85.42%	164
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	10.42%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.17%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	83.33%	370
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	7	14.58%	44.3
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.08%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	91.67%	209
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	6.25%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.08%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	44	91.67%	302.
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	6.25%	11.6
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.08%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	95.83%	310.
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.08%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.08%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	95.83%	529
	or the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.08%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	2.08%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	41	85.42%	461.
	o. O rotali reacting effectiveness	Good	9.03	6	12.5%	54.1
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.08%	2.03

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SOUMYA RANI.D

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Users Completed : 50

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed : 50				Overall Sec	ore: 91.3
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	74%	656.75
		Good	14.13	8	16%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	2	4%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	2	4%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	64%	464
	,	Good	11.81	14	28%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	1	2%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	2	4%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	74%	277.5
	The state of the s	Good	5.71	9	18%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	2	4%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	76%	222.3
	Tripproximate 70 or classes 110 F engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	12%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	12%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	82%	164
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	14%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4%	3.3
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	74%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	11	22%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	90%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	8%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	86%	295.84
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	12%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	94%	317.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	47	94%	540.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2%	4.03
	6 Ownell Teaching affectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	72%	405
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	22%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	1	2%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	1	2%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SOUMYA RANI.D

Subject: CYL120 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY LAB

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 49

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 90.97

. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations . Teachers Willingness to Help . Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Cood Fair Poor Can't Judge Less than 10	17.75 14.13 10.28 3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13 2.21	36 9 2 1 1 32 13 2 1 1 35 10 2	73.47% 18.37% 4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 65.31% 26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	639 127.1 20.56 3.53 8 464 153.5 16.22 2.01 4.09 262.5
. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations . Teachers Willingness to Help	Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Foor Can't Judge Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Can't Judge	10.28 3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	2 1 1 32 13 2 1 1 1 35 10	4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 65.31% 26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 71.43%	20.56 3.53 8 464 153.5 16.22 2.01 4.09
. Teachers Willingness to Help	Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Food Fair Poor Can't Judge	3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	1 1 32 13 2 1 1 1 35	2.04% 2.04% 65.31% 26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 71.43%	3.53 8 464 153.3 16.22 2.01 4.09
. Teachers Willingness to Help	Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04	1 32 13 2 1 1 1 35	2.04% 65.31% 26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	8 464 153. 16.2 2.01 4.09
. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	32 13 2 1 1 35 10	65.31% 26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	464 153. 16.2 2.01 4.09
. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	13 2 1 1 35 10	26.53% 4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	153. 16.2 2.01 4.09
. Teachers Willingness to Help	Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	2 1 1 35 10	4.08% 2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	16.2 2.01 4.09
	Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	1 1 35 10	2.04% 2.04% 71.43%	2.01 4.09
	Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	1 35 10	2.04% 71.43%	4.09
	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	7.50 5.71 4.04 1.13	35 10	71.43%	_
	Good Fair Poor Can't Judge	5.71 4.04 1.13	10	_	262
	Fair Poor Can't Judge	4.04 1.13	_	20 1107	
. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Poor Can't Judge	1.13	2	20.41%	57.1
. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Can't Judge			4.08%	8.08
. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers		2.21	1	2.04%	1.13
. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers			1	2.04%	2.21
. Approximate % of classes NO1 engaged by the teachers		5.85	36	73.47%	210
	10 to 25	3.66	5	10.2%	18.3
	More than 25	1.91	3	6.12%	5.73
	Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	8.2
	No	4.00	40	81.63%	160
. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	14.29%	4.69
	Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.08%	3.3
- 1 19	Excellent	9.25	35	71.43%	323
Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	12	24.49%	76.0
	Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	2.5
	Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.04%	3.10
2. Speed of presentation			-		199
			_		8.04
					1.4
			_	_	295
. Does the teacher encourage questioning					15.5
				_	0.74
			_		1.78
D.L. C.L. L			_	_	303
. Benavior of the teacher			_		5.56
			_		1.28
					1.94
G: 1. C1					517.
. Sincerity of the teacher					
					2.66
			_		5.06
			_	_	4.03
Overall Teaching effectiveness					416.
				_	81.2
			_	_	6.46
	Daar	1.56	1.1		1.56
	Speed of presentation Does the teacher encourage questioning Behavior of the teacher Sincerity of the teacher Overall Teaching effectiveness	Too Fast Can't Judge Yes Some Times No Can't Judge	Too Fast	Too Fast	Too Fast

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PHIRAVANANTHAP





Staff: ANITHA C S

Subject: MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	84.62%	781
	1. Subject tellowledge	Good	14.13	7	13.46%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	1	1.92%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	42	80.77%	609
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	17.31%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	1	1.92%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
2		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	43	82.69%	322.5
	5. reachers winnighess to help	Good	5.71	9	17.31%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	78.85%	239.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.85%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	15.38%	13.12
		No	4.00	47	90.38%	188
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.69%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.92%	1.65
2		Excellent	9.25	45	86.54%	416.25
-	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	7	13.46%	44.38
1 2 X		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	50	96.15%	237.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.85%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
		Yes	6.88	48	92.31%	330.24
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	7.69%	15.56
- 15		No	0.74	0	0%	0
· ·		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	51	98.08%	344.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.92%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
			11.50	51	98.08%	586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	1.33	1	1.92%	1.33
		Not Sincere	5.06	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	4.03	0	0%	0
		Blank	11.25	45	86.54%	506.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	9.03	7	13.46%	63.21
		Good		0	0%	0
		Fair	6.46 1.56	0	0%	0
		Poor	1 1.30	1 0	1 0/0	U

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: SIGIKUMAR T.S.

Subject: EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

Session Name: Faculty feed back S2 Session Id: TE-SN764-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 51

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K20 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 93.57

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	68.63%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	27.45%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	2	3.92%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	60.78%	449.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	19	37.25%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	1	1.96%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	66.67%	255
	3. reactions withingliess to ricip	Good	5.71	17	33.33%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	78.43%	234
	4. Approximate 76 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.92%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	15.69%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	46	90.2%	184
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	5.88%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.92%	3.3
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	76.47%	360.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	23.53%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	50	98.04%	237.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	1.96%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	82.35%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	17.65%	35.01
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	49	96.08%	330.75
	The second secon	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.92%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	98.04%	575
	and the same same same same same same same sam	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.96%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	70.59%	405
	S THE STATE OF THE	Good	9.03	15	29.41%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	. 2.03	0	0%	0


Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department









Staff: DR.RAJESH S.P.

Subject: MUL204 - VEHICLE SYSTEMS LAB Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 12

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 80.76

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	6	50%	106.5
	subject this weage	Good	14.13	4	33.33%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	8.33%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	8.33%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	6	50%	87
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	5	41.67%	59.05
	Λ 100	Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	8.33%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	6	50%	45
	3. reactions withingness to recip	Good	5.71	3	25%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	1	8.33%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	8.33%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	8.33%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	8	66.67%	46.8
	4. Approximate 70 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	8.33%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	2	16.67%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	8.33%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	9	75%	36
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	8.33%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	16.67%	3.3
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	50%	55.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	25%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	8.33%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	16.67%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	9	75%	42.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	25%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	7	58.33%	48.16
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	16.67%	7.78
		No	0.74	2	16.67%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	8.33%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	9	75%	60.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	8.33%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	16.67%	3.88
	5 Similar State to Am	Sincere	11.50	9	75%	103.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	8.33%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	2	16.67%	8.06
	(O II T him a effective	Excellent	11.25	6	50%	67.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	16.67%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	3	25%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	8.33%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: MUL202 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 91.88

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
	1. Subject Kilowicage	Good	14.13	1	7.69%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	2	15.38%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	10	76.92%	145
	2. Clarity and Chacistanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	76.92%	75
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	2	15.38%	11.42
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate 9/ of alasses NOT angaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	92.31%	70.2
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5 Whathards to show distance and a sale	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2	1 T 1 177 1 177 1	Excellent	9.25	9	69.23%	83.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	20 10	Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.69%	1.4
	2 December of the community of the commu	Yes	6.88	9	69.23%	61.92
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	23.08%	11.67
		No	0.74	1	7.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	C. C. Calantana I. C.	Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	CONTRACTOR OF ACTION	Excellent	11.25	9	69.23%	101.2
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	2	15.38%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: MUT206 - MECHANICS OF SOLIDS Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 89.83

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
		Good	14.13	5	38.46%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	69.23%	130.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	30.77%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	61.54%	60
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	5	38.46%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	A A CAL NOT II de la	Less than 10	5.85	11	84.62%	64.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	- 2	15.38%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
		No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2		Excellent	9.25	8	61.54%	74
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	4	30.77%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	11	84.62%	52.25
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	9	69.23%	61.92
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	30.77%	15.56
	A STATE OF THE STA	No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	11	84.62%	74.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	11	84.62%	126.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	7.69%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	7.69%	4.03
			11.25	8	61.54%	90
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	9.03	3	23.08%	27.09
		Good	6.46	1	7.69%	6.46
		Fair	1.56	0	0%	0.40
		Poor	1.30	U	0/0	1

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunai College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 1





Staff: DR.SABU V.R.

Subject: MUL204 - VEHICLE SYSTEMS LAB Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 82.7

tion	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
	1. Subject tellowledge	Good	14.13	2	15.38%	28.2
		Fair	10.28	2	15.38%	20.5
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	7.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	8	61.54%	116
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.6
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	7.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	7.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	61.54%	60
	3. reactions withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	2	15.38%	11.4
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	7.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.2
1	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	9	69.23%	52.0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	15.38%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.9
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.69%	1.6
- 1	5 What a day to be distance to the	No	4.00	10	76.92%	40
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	15.38%	1.3
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.6
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	8	61.54%	74
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.1
1	20 10	Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.3
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
1		Yes	6.88	8	61.54%	55.
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	23.08%	11.
		No	0.74	1	7.69%	0.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	7.69%	1.7
ł		Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.7
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	15.38%	2.5
		Can't Judge	1.94	1.	7.69%	1.9
		Sincere	11.50	10	76.92%	115
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	15.38%	10.
		Blank	4.03	1	7.69%	4.0
		Excellent	11.25	8	61.54%	90
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	7.69%	9.0
		Fair	6.46	3	23.08%	19.
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.0.

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

NAME STATE OF CHARLES AND A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR THIRIPTIANANTHEON





Staff: DR.SABU V.R.

Subject: MUT204 - AUTO POWERPLANT Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 85.16

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
		Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	1	7.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	7.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	8	61.54%	116
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	2	15.38%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	7.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	9	69.23%	67.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	1	7.69%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	2	15.38%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.21
	A Assessing to 9/ of slaves NOT assessed by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	10	76.92%	58.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	7.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.69%	1.64
		No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	15.38%	3.3
		Excellent	9.25	8	61.54%	74
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	9	69.23%	61.92
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	15.38%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	15.38%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	15.38%	5.56
			1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.94
		Can't Judge	11.50	11	84.62%	126.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	1.33	_	7.69%	1.33
		Not Sincere		1	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	7.69%	4.03
		Blank	4.03	1		90
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	8	61.54%	-
		Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	2	15.38%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.MOHAN G

Subject: MET202 - ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 80.16

ction	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	6	46.15%	106.5
	The day of the state of the sta	Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	4	30.77%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	6	46.15%	87
	2. Clarity and Chacistanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	3	23.08%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	2	15.38%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	6	46.15%	45
	3. reactions withingness to freip	Good	5.71	5	38.46%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	11	84.62%	64.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	7.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5 What all a little to the	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	38.46%	46.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	38.46%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	2	15.38%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	10	76.92%	68.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	15.38%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	7.69%	1.78
		Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	15.38%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	10	76.92%	115
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	7.69%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	7.69%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	6	46.15%	67.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	7.69%	9.03
	* 50	Fair	6.46	4	30.77%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	7.69%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: MUL202 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed: 13 Question	Option	Mark	Users	Overall S	Total
	Question	•		Opted	-	Mark
l	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
		Good	14.13	2	15.38%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	7.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	69.23%	130.5
	2. Clarky and Onderstanding of reachers Explanations	Good	11.81	3	23.08%	35.43
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	1	7.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	9	69.23%	67.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	3	23.08%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	1	7.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	. 2.21	0	0%	0
	A American 9/ of classes NOT are and but he teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	92.31%	70.2
	4. Approximate $\%$ of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	C. Will of the Line of the Lin	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
12		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2	1 Total Control	Excellent	9.25	10	76.92%	92.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	2	15.38%	12.68
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.69%	1.4
		Yes	6.88	12	92.31%	82.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	1	7.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	*7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	11	84.62%	123.7
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	7.69%	9.03
		Fair	6.46	1	7.69%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks

• Good

Head of The Department







Staff: GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: MUL202 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 91.88

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	1	7.69%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	2	15.38%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	10	76.92%	145
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	76.92%	75
	5. reachers winnighess to rieip	Good	5.71	2	15.38%	11.42
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	92.31%	70.2
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	9	69.23%	83.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.69%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	9	69.23%	61.92
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	23.08%	11.67
		No .	0.74	1	7.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	4. Beliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5 Singarity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	9	69.23%	101.2
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	2	15.38%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.RAJESH S.P.

Subject: MUL204 - VEHICLE SYSTEMS LAB Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 12

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 80.76

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	6	50%	106.5
	1. Subject tellowleage	Good	14.13	4	33.33%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	.0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	8.33%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	8.33%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	6	50%	87
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	5	41.67%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
***		Can't Judge	4.09	1	8.33%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	6	50%	45
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	3	25%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	1	8.33%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	8.33%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	8.33%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	8	66.67%	46.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	8.33%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	2	16.67%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	8.33%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	9	75%	36
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	8.33%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	16.67%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	50%	55.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	25%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	8.33%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	16.67%	6.32
	2 51-6	Just Right	4.75	9	75%	42.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	25%	4.2
	2. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	7	58.33%	48.16
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	16.67%	7.78
		No	0.74	2	16.67%	1.48
1		Can't Judge	1.78	1	8.33%	1.78
	4. Dehavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	9	75%	60.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	8.33%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	16.67%	3.88
	. C	Sincere	11.50	9	75%	103.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	8.33%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	2	16.67%	8.06
	(C	Excellent	11.25	6	50%	67.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	16.67%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	3	25%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	8.33%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.MISHA M S

Subject: MAT 202 - PROBABILITY, STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 15

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 90.66

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	9	60%	159.7
	The Subject Mile wreage	Good	14.13	4	26.67%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	2	13.33%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	10	66.67%	145
-	2. Clarky and Cheerstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	26.67%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	1	6.67%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	53.33%	60
	3. reactions withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	6	40%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	1	6.67%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	80%	70.2
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	13.33%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	6.67%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	12	80%	48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	13.33%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	6.67%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	10	66.67%	92.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	33.33%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 5	Just Right	4.75	13	86.67%	61.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	13.33%	2.8
	2 D	Yes	6.88	11	73.33%	75.68
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	20%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	6.67%	1.78
	4 Polyanian of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	13	86.67%	87.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	6.67%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	6.67%	1.94
	5 G: ' Cd 1	Sincere	11.50	14	93.33%	161
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	6.67%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
= = 1		Excellent	11.25	10	66.67%	112.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	5	33.33%	45.15
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

good

Head of The Department







Staff: AJJU R JUSTUS

Subject: HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 90.97

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
	- Total Carlotte	Good	14.13	4	30.77%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	1	7.69%	10.28
	8 6 2	Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	69.23%	130.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	3	23.08%	35.43
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	7	53.85%	52.5
	5. reachers withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	5	38.46%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
	7., 4	Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4 Associate 9/ of classes NOT associate to the tenton	Less than 10	5.85	11	84.62%	64.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	7.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	E What all all a line is a line in the second	No	4.00	10	76.92%	40
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	23.08%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	9	69.23%	83.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
		Yes	6.88	11	84.62%	75.68
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	15.38%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	11	84.62%	74.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	9	69.23%	101.2
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	3	23.08%	27.09
		Fair	6.46	1	7.69%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0.40
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 93.81

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
	n subject this wedge	Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	10	76.92%	145
	2. Clarity and Chocistarding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	76.92%	75
	3. reactions withingless to ricip	Good	5.71	2	15.38%	11.42
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	92.31%	70.2
	1. Approximate 70 of classes 1101 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	11	84.62%	101.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	7.69%	6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	12	92.31%	82.56
	5. Does the teacher checking questioning	Some Times	3.89	1	7.69%	3.89
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	7.69%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6 Overall Teaching affectiveness	Excellent	11.25	10	76.92%	112.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	1	7.69%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	MIRONAL COLLEGE	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks

Good

Head of The Department

Тиручикантик





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: MUL202 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 92

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
	The state of the s	Good	14.13	2	15.38%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	7.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	69.23%	130.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	3	23.08%	35.43
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
1,27		Poor	2.01	1	7.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	9	69.23%	67.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	3	23.08%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	1	7.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.4	Less than 10	5.85	12	92.31%	70.2
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
		No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	10	76.92%	92.5
-		Satisfactory	6.34	2	15.38%	12.68
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.69%	1.4
		Yes	6.88	12	92.31%	82.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	1	7.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	12	92.31%	138
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	,	Excellent	11.25	11	84.62%	123.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness		9.03	1	7.69%	9.03
		Good	6.46	1	7.69%	6.46
		Fair	1.56	0	0%	0.40
		Poor	1.50	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

• Good

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.MOHAN G

Subject: MET202 - ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Users Completed: 13

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 80.16

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	6	46.15%	106.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	4	30.77%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	6	46.15%	87
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	3	23.08%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	2	15.38%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	6	46.15%	45
	3. Teachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	5	38.46%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	11	84.62%	64.35
	4. Approximate 70 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	7.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
		Yes	0.67	1	7.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.65
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	38.46%	46.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	38.46%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	2	15.38%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	10	76.92%	68.8
	g. 1g	Some Times	3.89	2	15.38%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	7.69%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.75
		Indifferent	2.78	2	15.38%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	10	76.92%	115
	•	Not Sincere	1.33	1	7.69%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	7.69%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	6	46.15%	67.5
		Good	9.03	1	7.69%	9.03
		Fair	6.46	4	30.77%	25.84
ia a		Poor	1.56	1	7.69%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engine Trivande ...





Staff: DR.SABU V.R.

Subject: MUT204 - AUTO POWERPLANT Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021 **Users Completed: 13**

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 85.16

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
l	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
	1. Subject tellowledge	Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	1	7.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	7.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	8	61.54%	116
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	2	15.38%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	7.69%	4.09
	2. Tanahan William asa ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	9	69.23%	67.5
	Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	1	7.69%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	2	15.38%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.21
	A A STATE OF THE S	Less than 10	5.85	10	76.92%	58.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	7.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.91
-		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.69%	1.64
		No	4.00	11	84.62%	44
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
1		Can't Judge	1.65	2	15.38%	3.3
2	I. Touch an ability to accoming leature	Excellent	9.25	8	61.54%	74
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	9	69.23%	61.92
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	15.38%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	15.38%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	15.38%	5.56
	a a	Unpleasant	1.28	1	7.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	11	84.62%	126.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	7.69%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	7.69%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	8	61.54%	90
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.0
		Fair	6.46	2	15.38%	12.9
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found,

Head of The Department

MEODE, THIRDVANA





Staff: DR.SABU V.R.

Subject: MUL204 - VEHICLE SYSTEMS LAB Session Name: Faculty Evaluation Sept 2021

Session Id: TE-SN747-Sep-2021

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K19 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed: 13 Question	Option	Mark	Users	Overall Scor	Total
		•		Opted		Marl
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	61.54%	142
		Good	14.13	2	15.38%	28.2
		Fair	10.28	2	15.38%	20.5
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	7.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	8	61.54%	116
		Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.6
		Fair	8.11	1	7.69%	8.1
		Poor	2.01	1	7.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	7.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	61.54%	60
		Good	5.71	2 .	15.38%	11.4
		Fair	4.04	1	7.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	7.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	9	69.23%	52.0
		10 to 25	3.66	2	15.38%	7.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	7.69%	1.9
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.69%	1.6
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	10	76.92%	40
		Yes	0.67	2	15.38%	1.3
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.69%	1.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	8	61.54%	74
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	23.08%	19.
		Inadequate	2.50	1	7.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.69%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	10	76.92%	47.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	7.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	15.38%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	8	61.54%	55.0
		Some Times	3.89	3	23.08%	11.0
		No	0.74	1	7.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	7.69%	1.78
		Pleasant	6.75	9	69.23%	60.
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	15.38%	2.5
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.9
		Sincere	11.50	10	76.92%	115
	5. Sincerity of the teacher		1.33	0	0%	0
		Not Sincere	5.06	2	15.38%	10.
		Unable to Judge	4.03	1	7.69%	4.0
		Blank		8	61.54%	90
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25		7.69%	9.0
		Good	9.03	1 2	_	19.
		Fair	6.46	3	23.08%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	_
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.69%	2.0

Strength and Weakness

Good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

